

2/11/14

Prob. Methods in Comp.

W2D1. (Missed from last lecture).

A family \mathcal{F} of $P[\bar{n}]$ intersecting iff $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{F}, |A \cap B| \neq 0$.How large can an intersecting \mathcal{F} be.Lower bound - $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{A \in P[\bar{n}] \mid A \subseteq \bar{k}\}, |\mathcal{F}_0| = 2^{n-k}$.Upper " - We take at most one from A, A^c so

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \frac{|P[\bar{n}]|}{2} = 2^{n-k}.$$

Now, for $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ ($\forall A \in \mathcal{F}, |A|=k$).The trivial $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap \binom{[n]}{k}$ is of size $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$. If $2k > n$ then $\mathcal{F} = \binom{[n]}{k}$ works better. What if $2k \leq n$?Thm (Erdős-Rado-Ko) if $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ is intersecting then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1} = \frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k}$ Proof (Katona), '72) Choose $\sigma \in S_n$ and replace $[\bar{n}]$ by $\mathbb{Z}/\bar{n}\mathbb{Z}$. $A_i = A_i(\sigma) = \{\sigma(i), \sigma(i+1), \dots, \sigma(i+k-1)\}$ - uni. selected in $\binom{[n]}{k}$ $P(A_i \in \mathcal{F}) = \frac{|\mathcal{F}|}{\binom{n}{k}}$. we want an upper bound on P .Fix σ , we claim $P(A_i \in \mathcal{F} | \sigma) \leq \frac{k}{n}$.Assume it's > 0 . Then there's some i s.t. $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$. Then \mathcal{F} can't contain $2k-2$ other A_j 'sand they can be paired as A_{i-j}, A_{i-j+k}

(which aren't intersecting), so we can take at

most $k-1$ others - $P \leq \frac{k}{n}$.Linearity of Expectation (Chapter 2 in AS) x_1, \dots, x_n are r.v. r.v. and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$\mathbb{E}(c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_n x_n) = c_1 \mathbb{E}(x_1) + \dots + c_n \mathbb{E}(x_n) - \text{without any ind. assumption of the } x_i$$

Exercise - $\mathbb{E}(\text{Fix}(\sigma))$ when $\sigma \in S_n$ is chosen uniformly.

$$X = X_1 \dots X_n, X_{i,j} = \mathbb{P}(\sigma(i)=j) = \frac{1}{n}, \text{ so } \mathbb{E}(X) = 1.$$

Ex. - largest bipartite subgraph contains at least half of

the edges of the original graph.

proof: Put each $v \in V$ in V_1 or V_2 with $P = \frac{1}{2}$ each. $E(\#E(V_1, V_2)) = \frac{|E(G)|}{2}$

This bound can be improved if we avoid very biased partitions.

Prop. Let G be a graph of N vertices and M edges. Then G contains

a bipartite subgraph with at least:

(1) $\frac{n}{2n-1} M$ if $N = 2n$, (2) $\frac{n+1}{2n+1} M$ if $N = 2n+1$. bipartite.

Proof Split V randomly s.t. $|V_1| = |V_2|$, and define a subgraph H as before. $P(u \in E(H)) = 2 P(v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2) = 2 P(v_2 \in V_2 | v_1 \in V_1) \cdot P(v_1 \in V_1) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{n+1} = \frac{n}{2n+1}$ ($\stackrel{N=2n}{=} 2 \cdot \frac{n+1}{2n+1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2n+1}$).

Many open questions - what if G is triangle-free etc.

Ex. Monochromatic k -cliques in 2-colorings of K_n . How many mono. cliques are we guaranteed to see of K_k ?

Prop. There is a coloring with at most $\binom{n}{k} 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}}$.

pf color each edge at random - $\#E(\text{mon. clig.}) = \binom{n}{k} P(A \text{ is mon. clig.}) = \binom{n}{k} 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}}$ asymptotically required.

Thm. This is tight for $k=3$ (Goodman, '59):

$\binom{n}{3} - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} n \left(\frac{1}{4} (n-1)^2 \right) \right\rfloor = \frac{1}{4} \binom{n}{3} = \Theta(n^2)$ mon. triangles at least, using a nice double-counting argument.

Conj (Erdős, '62) - This is true for all k - $(2^{1-\binom{k}{2}} - o(1)) \binom{n}{k}$ at least.

Turns out this isn't true for $k > 3$ (trivial for $k=2$).

Thomason '89 - $\frac{1}{33} \binom{n}{4}$ mon copies of K_4 . (HP)

Our question for the rest of class - num. of Ham-paths. in a tournament. It is possible (and minimal) for the trans. tour. - how large can this number be?

Lower bound - prop. (Szele, '43) there's a tournament with $\geq n! 2^{t-n}$ HPs.

pf. A random order is HP with $P = 2^{t-n}$

How good is it? Szele's conj. says $\#HP \leq n! (2-o(1))^n$. Proved by

Noga Alon - we'll prove it in the rest of the lesson.

TF A is a square $n \times n$ matrix define its permanent $\text{perm } A = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^n A_{i,\sigma(i)}$

Conj. (Minec) / Thm (Brégman). Suppose $A_{i,j} \in \{0,1\}$. Let $r_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j}$. Then $\left(\begin{array}{cccc} r_1 & r_2 & \dots & r_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_1 & r_2 & \dots & r_n \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \text{per } A \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (r_i!)^{1/r_i}$. Assume $\text{per } A > 0$, ~~where each $r_i > 0$~~ .

$\text{Per } A = |S = \{\sigma \in S_n \mid \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, A_{i,\sigma(i)} = 1\}|$. Choose a uniform σ from S and (ind.) $T \in S_n$. $L(\sigma, T)$ is defined as follows - $A_1 = \lambda$, $R_1 = \#\text{1s in } T_1$ of A_1 , $A_2 = A_1$ after deleting row ~~and column $T(1)$~~ and col. $\sigma(T(1))$. Continue until $A_n = \lambda$ in $\mathbb{M}_1(\mathbb{S}_n)$. $T(\sigma, T)$ is by R_1, \dots, R_n .

claim. $\text{per } A \leq \exp(E[L])$.

Pf. We show that for each fixed $T \in S_n$, $\text{per } A \leq \exp(E[L|T])$. Prove

by induction on n . $r = R_1 = \#\text{1s in row } \sigma(1)$. ~~suppose they~~ are in columns C_1, \dots, C_r . $t_j = \text{Per}(A_{-\sigma(1), C_j})$, $t = \frac{t_1 \cdots t_r}{r}$.

$\text{Per } A = t \cdot r$ by definition. $P(\sigma(T(1)) = C_j) = \frac{t_j}{t r}$.

$E[L|T] = \log r + E[L - \log R_1 | T]$. Condition on C_j to get

$E[L - \log R_1 | T, \sigma(T(1)) = C_j] \stackrel{(ind)}{\geq} \log t_j$. So $E(L|T) = \log r + \sum_{j=1}^r P(\sigma(T(1)) = C_j) E[L - \log R_1 | \sigma(T(1)) = C_j, T] \geq \log r + \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{t_j \log t_j}{t r} \geq \log r + \log t = \log rt = \text{per } A$.

Now, fix $\sigma \in S$ and compute $E(L|\sigma)$ and take $L = \log R_1' + \dots + \log R_n'$ where $R_i' = \#\text{1s remaining in row } i$ when it's removed. Relabel s.t. $\sigma = \text{id}$, r_i : ones in i^{th} row, one of them in row i ($\sigma = \text{id}$).

But many may have been deleted. We removed 1s in a random order - R_i' is uniform on $[r_i]$, so $E[\log R_i'] = \frac{1}{r_i} \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \log j = \frac{\log(r_i!)}{r_i}$.

So, $\exp(E[L]) = \prod_{i=1}^n (r_i!)^{\frac{1}{r_i}} \geq \text{per } A$.

Now we can prove the HP theorem. $P(n) = \max \{ \# \text{HP in } T \mid T \text{ is a tournament} \}$.

Thm (Alon '90) $P(n) = O(n^{3/2} \cdot \frac{n!}{2^{n-1}})$

Pf Given T tournament define its adjacency matrix A_T . So $\text{per } A = |\text{subgraphs of } T \text{ where each } v \in V \text{ has exactly 1 in-neighbour and 1 out-neighbour. So } HC(T) \leq \text{per } A_T \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (r_i!)^{\frac{1}{r_i}}$, so

$$C(n) \leq \max_{\substack{1 \leq r_i \leq n-1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n r_i = \binom{n}{2}}} \prod_{i=1}^n r_i! \stackrel{\text{by comb}}{\leq} \frac{1}{4^{C(n-1)}} \cdot \mathbb{E}[\#HC(T')] = \frac{1}{4} \#HP(T).$$

so $4C(n-1) \geq P(n)$. The max. is achieved when $|r_i - r_j| \leq 1$ for

Any i, j . It's enough to show that:

lemma if $b \geq a-2$ ($a \geq 1$) then $(b-1)!^{\frac{1}{b-a}} (a-1)!^{\frac{1}{a-a}} > b!^{\frac{1}{b-a}} \cdot a!^{\frac{1}{a-a}}$ for $x \geq 2$

pf. Let $f(x) = \frac{(x-1)!^{\frac{1}{x-x}}}{x!^{\frac{2}{x-x}}}$. Enough to show $f(x) \geq f(x-1)$ to get $f(a) \geq f(b)$. Equivalently, we show $x!^{\frac{2}{x}} > (x-1)!^{\frac{2}{x-1}} (x+1)!^{\frac{2}{x+1}} / (x(x+1)(x-1))$

$$x!^{\frac{2}{x}} > (x-1)!^{\frac{2}{x-1}} (x+1)!^{\frac{2}{x+1}} / (x-1)^{-2x^2}$$

$$\frac{x^{-2x}}{(x!)^2} > \left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^{x^2-x} \cdot \left(\frac{x^x}{x!}\right)^2 \geq \left(\frac{x^x}{\left(\frac{x-1}{2}\right)^x}\right)^2 = 4^x \left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)^{2x} = \Theta(4^x)$$

$$e^{\frac{1}{x-1}}$$

Easy to assert for small x .

$$\text{So } C(n) \leq \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} = \Theta(\sqrt{n}) \sqrt{n^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} \left(\frac{n-1}{2e}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n-1}}} = \Theta(n^2 \cdot 2^{-n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n) = \Theta(n^{3/2} \cdot 2^{-n} \cdot n!)$$